Academic Data Report Review

Q1.

All UF assessment units must provide an assessment data report for the previous academic year annually on or before November 1. Academic programs - undergraduate, graduate, professional, and certificate - are required to report on their program goals and student learning outcomes. This tool serves to house review notes for each report. Results from review will be sent to assessment coordinators for revisions, corrections, or adjustments. The Academic Assessment Review tool is divided in sections aligned with those in Campus Labs Planning, as follows:

1. Mission

- Program Type and Level
- Assessment Oversight

2. Program Goal(s)

- Evaluation Methods
- Results

3. Student Learning Outcomes

- Assessment Method Checklist
- -Assessment Method Narrative & Sample Instrument
- Threshold of Acceptability
- How many students did you assess for this outcome?
- How many students met the outcome?
- What percentage of students met the outcome?
- Does this meet your threshold of acceptability?
- Results

4. Use of Results for Improvement

- Assessment Cycle
- Narrative

Ratings for each item are defined, as follows:

Needs attention (1): Key items are missing (or incomplete) in the report section. Requires significant revision of narrative(s), sample instruments, SLOs, or other items.

Needs minor revision (2): Items are addressed but are unclear or unsupported in the report section. Requires some revision, such as, including data in the narrative, providing a sample assessment instrument, or other items to support the narrative.

Well done (3): The section narrative presents a thorough and thoughtful analysis that is fully supported by relevant data.

Q2. Assessment Plan:

College/Unit	
Academic Program Name	
Academic Year	

Q3. **The Mission Statement:** All programs on campus have a mission statement that describes the purpose of the program. This component is evaluated on two criteria: (1) Clarity – the mission is clear, concise, and (2) Aligns with and advances the department (when appropriate), college, and university missions. These criteria are met when the program mission specifically states how the program advances the department (when appropriate), college, and university missions.

0	Needs Attention	
0	Needs Minor Revision	
0	Well Done	

. Program Type & Level (Included)

- O Yes
- O No

Q13. Assessment Oversight

In this section, list the *names and contact information of those who oversee the assessment process* in your program using the Assessment Oversight template.

	Yes	No
Includes names	0	0
Includes department affiliation	0	0
Includes e-mail(s)	0	0
Includes phone numbers	0	0

Q4. **Program Goal(s) Section:** Program goals include the broad educational goals of the program (e.g., to graduate students who are prepared for the workplace) and, when appropriate, the target percentage or number you set to achieve during the reporting period.

	Not Applicable	Needs Attention	Needs Minor Revision	Well Done
Programmatic goal(s) address(es) programmatic elements.	Ο	Ο	Ο	0
Section includes evaluation methods describing how goal will be achieved and what measures will be used	Ο	Ο	Ο	0
Section includes results and findings.	Ο	0	Ο	0

Q5. **Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs):** Student Learning Outcomes reflect the curriculum, and as curriculum evolves, learning outcomes change. SLOs should be recent, relevant, and rigorous. Recent outcomes reflect current knowledge and practice in the discipline. Relevant outcomes relate logically and significantly to the discipline. Rigorous outcomes require an appropriate degree of academic precision and thoroughness to be met successfully. Components of effective SLOs are: (1) Focus on what students will know and be able to do, (2) Description of observable and measurable actions or behaviors. SLOs must be approved by the Academic Assessment Committee (AAC).

Are written according to the UF guidelines	Not Applicable	Needs Attention	Needs Minor Revision	Well Done

.. . . .

[]	Not Applicable	Needs Attention	Needs Minor Revision	Well Done
Are approved by the AAC and consistent with the catalog	Ο	0	Ο	0

Q6. **SLO Data Provided** (considering the minimum number of students within the academic year)

- O Yes
- O No
- O Delayed, minimum enrollments not reached

Q7. **Assessment method(s)** used to assess student achievement of the outcome is(are) provided (from checklist)

O Yes	;
O No	

Q8. Assessment method(s) narrative & Sample instrument 1. Include additional assessment methods (not in checklist) 2. Provide the description of the assessment methods used to measure the SLO (What will students do to demonstrate the outcome?). 3. Include the assessment procedure (Sample instrument) utilized to measure the outcome (How will the outcome be measured? For example, rubric, exam questions, criteria list, form) 4. Identify direct and indirect assessment measures.

O Additional assessment methods are included	
O All assessment methods are described (What students did)	
O Assessment procedures are described (How was it measured)	
O Sample instrument is included	
O Direct and indirect assessment methods are identified	

Q9. Threshold of Acceptability

	Yes	No
Threshold of acceptability is included	0	0
Number of students assessed for each outcome is included	Ο	0
Number of students who met the outcome is included	0	0
Percentage of students meeting the outcome is included	0	0
Threshold of acceptability met/not met is included	0	0
Data (numbers and percentages) are entered correctly	Ο	0

Q10. Results

What did you find out? Are your assessment methods functioning well? What specific strengths or weaknesses in student learning do the results reveal? Important: If the program is offered at an off-campus instructional site (OCIS), in UF Online, or as a fully online graduate program, disaggregate the results by location and/or delivery mode and compare the results achieved by the on-campus students, online students, and students at a distance. Attach the name-redacted data summaries you used.

	Not Applicable	Needs Attention	Needs Minor Revision	Well Done
Report describes findings	0	0	0	Ο
Report disaggregates results by location and/or delivery mode	0	Ο	Ο	0
Results narrative is a stand- alone piece that includes data (within narrative, and/or attached) to support findings	Ο	Ο	Ο	0

Q12.

Use of Results for Improvement: Assessment Cycle

The Assessment Cycle is a matrix that graphically organizes the frequency of SLO assessment. Because programs have multiple SLOs in three broad categories, not all SLOs are assessed each year. The Assessment Cycle is usually a multi-year process that is completed in three years; however the program faculty may choose to assess the SLOs annually or biennially. Program faculty should decide on when and in what year each SLO will be assessed. There is a date for dissemination of results to the appropriate stakeholders.

	Needs Attention	Needs Minor Revision	Well Done
All student learning outcomes are measured	0	0	0
Data is collected at least once in the cycle for each SLO	Ο	Ο	Ο
There is a date or time period for data analysis and interpretation	0	0	0
There is a date for planning improvement actions based on the data analysis	0	0	Ο
There is a date for dissemination of results to the appropriate stakeholders	0	Ο	Ο

Q11.

Use of Results for Improvement Narrative

Who reviewed the results? What impact did your review of these results have on your program? What did you decide to do based on what you found out?

Narrative includes who reviewed results	Not Applicable	Needs Attention	Needs Minor Revision	Well Done

	Not Applicable	Needs Attention	Needs Minor Revision	Well Done
Narrative includes actions taken based on findings from data	Ο	Ο	0	Ο
Narrative includes analysis of effectiveness of assessment methods	0	0	Ο	Ο
Narrative includes student learning strengths and weaknesses from results	0	0	Ο	Ο
Narrative includes a description of all changes made	0	0	Ο	Ο

Q20 . Overall Comments:

Q21. Report serves as a Model of Excellence:

O Yes

O No

©<u>University of Florida</u> Gainesville, FL 32611 <u>Terms of Use</u>